http://goo.gl/6tPjuj



### Inquiry-based Learning & MOOCs: Challenges & Opportunities



#### Vitomir Kovanović

School of Informatics THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH v.kovanovic@ed.ac.uk @vkovanovic

The Open University, UK 15 June 2015

#### **MOOCs: Revolution or evolution?**

"Disruptive Change" "Tsunami is coming" "Year of the MOOCs"



#### MOOC Hype is passing

Media coverage is decreasing and is getting more productive (Kovanovic et al., 2015b)

### **MOOCs: Current progress of DE**

MOOCs were envisioned as "social-constructivism 2.0"

Anderson & Dron (2010):



Learners do not acquire knowledge, they construct knowledge

### **MOOCs: Current progress of DE**

- In some aspects, xMOOCs are even a step back in online learning
  - Step back to cognitive-behaviorist learning models
  - Step back because of *practical reasons*
- We need to look what we already know from distance/online learning (Kovanović et al., 2015c)

#### **Goal: More social MOOCs**

- Goal to enable for an environment in which students are able to learn together at scale
  - Online discussions should be better
    - Currently work mostly as Q/A
    - More knowledge building in discussions
  - Currently, students are having solitary experiences in MOOCs at scale
- Look at the existing models of DE/OL in MOOC context

#### **Community of Inquiry (Col) model** (Garrison, Anderson, & Archer, 1999)

### **Community of Inquiry (Col) model**



- **Triggering event:** Problem identification, sense of puzzlement
- **Exploration**: Brainstorming, Idea exploration, divergence
- Integration: Synthesis of relevant information
- **Resolution**: Problem resolution, testing application

6

#### **Col instruments**

Quantitative coding schemes for each of the presences:

- Labour-intensive manual coding
- Requires experienced coders

34 likert items survey instrument

- 13 Teaching presence
- 9 Social presence
- 12 Cognitive presence

#### **MOOCs: Challenges**

Col (and other social-constructivist) models require a strong teacher's presence

-> up to 30-40 student cohorts (Anderson & Dron, 2010)

MOOCs?

• In short, just too many students for strong teaching presence *during course* 

#### How different is MOOC context?

- We evaluated Col survey instrument
- Data from 5 MOOCs
- Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) of existing Col survey instrument
- Structural Equation Model (SEM) of relationships between three presences

#### **Col EFA in MOOC context**



#### **Col EFA in MOOC context**



#### How different is MOOC context?

- Course design & organization are particularly important
- Less affective communication
- Application & resolution are not reached most of the time

### Col SEM in MOOC context

SEM model of relationships between presences

Main findings:

- Strong direct effect of TP on CP
- SP mediator between TP and CP



### Col SEM in MOOC context



# Summary: How much different is the MOOC context?

- Basic Col model still holds
- In MOOCs, social presence is not developed as good as in traditional DE/OL courses.
- Teaching presence is still very important

#### Goal: More social MOOCs through Learning Analytics and Data Mining

- Build on the existing models such as Col
- Make MOOCs "feel smaller"
- Overcome barriers for social-constructivism in MOOCs

#### Goal: More social MOOCs through Learning Analytics and Data Mining

- Build on the existing models such as Col
- Make MOOCs "feel smaller"
- Overcome barriers for social-constructivism in MOOCs

#### Automate as much as possible

# Current work: automated message classification (Kovanovic et al., 2014)

- Automatically classify messages in accordance with Col coding scheme
- Faster and much cheaper use of Col model
- Provide feedback to students and instructors in realtime
- Wider adoption of Col model
- Better insights into Col coding scheme

## Current work: Profiling students by the technology use (Kovanovic et al., 2015a)

UserLoginCount **CourseViewCount** AssignmentViewCount AssignmentViewTime **ResourceViewCount ResourceViewTime DiscussionViewCount DiscussionViewTime** ForumSearchCount AddPostCount AddPostTime **UpdatePostCount UpdatePostTime** 



## Thank you

#### **References** I

Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2010). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, *12*(3), 80–97.

Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical Inquiry in a Text-Based Environment: Computer Conferencing in Higher Education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *2*(2–3), 87–105.

Kovanović, V., Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., & Hatala, M. (2014). Automated Content Analysis of Online Discussion Transcripts. In *Proceedings of the Workshops at the LAK 2014 Conference*. Indianapolis, IN. Retrieved from http: //ceur-ws.org/Vol-1137/

#### **References II**

Kovanovic, V., Gašević, D., Joksimović, S., Hatala, M., & Adesope, O. (2015a). Analytics of communities of inquiry: Effects of learning technology use on cognitive presence in asynchronous online discussions. The Internet and Higher Education, *(in-press).* 

Kovanović, V., Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., Siemens, G., & Hatala, M. (2015b). What public media reveals about MOOCs. *British Journal of Educational Technology 43*(3), 510-527.

Kovanović, V., Joksimović, S., Skrypnyk, O., Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Siemens, G. (2015c). The history and state of distance education. Athabasca University.

## **Questions?**