

Towards an engaging research culture

Professor Richard Holliman

http://www.open.ac.uk/people/rmh47 @science_engage

Holliman, R. (2016). 'Towards an engaging research culture'. Leadership for Engaged Research: Sharing Practices, Embedding Principles, Improving Quality Workshop. Hosted at Otago Museum. Organised by University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, 11 November.



Concordat for Engaging the Public with Research

A set of principles drawn up by the Funders of Research in the UK



- 1. A strategic commitment to engaged research
- Researchers are recognised and valued for excellent engaged research
- 3. Appropriate training, support and opportunities
- 4. Regular reviews of sector's progress





The aims of the eight Public Engagement with Research Catalysts were to create a culture within the grant holding HEIs where excellent public engagement with research is formalised and embedded.



1. Why bother to embed engagement?

- 'Carrots'
 - idealism; 'epistemic justice'
 - Who should have a 'voice' in research?
 - because you see some value in the approach or outcomes
- 'Sticks'
 - instrumentalism
 - audit reporting requirements
 - links (in)directly to funding
 - NZ PBRF vs. UK REF





@FabienMedvecky





2. Why bother to embed engagement within research?

- To improve the quality of your research
- To improve the impacts arising from the research for

those who participate in its production and those

affected by the outcomes

Holliman *et al*., in press Holliman and Warren, in press

Reputational risk

3. Why bother to embed engagement?





Communication was not a sufficient solution

Leadership— Mission— Communication

Recognition— Learning— Support

> Staff— Students— Publics

From personal motivation to institutional support

An Open Research University



Richard Holliman Anne Adams Tim Blackman Trevor Collins Gareth Davies Fiona Sally Dibb Nick I Ann Grand Astric Richard Holti

Fiona McKerlie Nick Mahony Astrid Wissenburg



Holliman et al., 2015





- Purpose: leadership; mission; communication
- Process: learning; support; recognition
- People: staff; students; publics
- Embryonic; Developing; Gripping; Embedding
- Where are 'you' now?
- Where do you want to be in 3 years?
- What would you prioritise?





<u>Holliman,</u> <u>et al., 2015</u>



Action Research Design

- literature review
- analysis of key strategic documents
- institution-wide survey (n=171)
- interviews with senior staff (n=15)
- analysis informs interventions



What is public engagement with research?

PLOS ONE



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mapping Public Engagement with Research in a UK University

Ann Grand¹*, Gareth Davies², Richard Holliman², Anne Adams¹

In fewer than 150 words, how would you define 'public engagement with research'?

Grand et al., 2015

What is public engagement with research?

Code Description % n Dissemination Dissemination/communication/presentation: Through appropriate language and a variety of one-way communications, 32 54 ensuring wider (e.g. non-academic) audiences can receive information about the process of research and research findings; outreach; talks/lectures; explaining, clarifying, translating, simplifying or educating. Collaboration/participation/consultation: Involving people in research from the inception of projects; affording people the Collaboration 11 19 opportunity to understand, participate and shape research priorities and the design of projects; consulting groups that want to do something with the research. Dialogue/exchange of ideas: Engaging in dialogue or exchanging ideas with a diverse range of audiences/user groups/ 8 Dialogue 14 specialist researchers/interested parties/publics; enhancing mutual benefit by listening/participating in ways that help shape/reshape the social demand and understanding of research; influencing policy. Demonstrating the usefulness/benefits of research: Demonstrating the importance of research; enhancing people's Useful 7 13 understanding of how research can affect their community and improve their lives (e.g. offering economic benefits); demonstrate economic value of research. Functional Functional/strategic/occupational: Sustaining resources and concrete targets in research projects; training researchers in 4 6 engagement; offering media support; meeting institutional targets for public engagement; a defined part of the job role. Antithetical/negative/dismissive views about public engagement with research. 2 Non-1 participation Don't know Responses given as 'don't know' or similar. 2 4 Unclassifiable Responses that did not include a definition. 8 13 No answer Respondents left the question blank. 27 46 Total 100 171

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121874.t001

Grand et al., 2015

See also Jensen and Holliman, 2016; TNS BMRB, 2015





selected interview questions

- Who are the publics your researchers engage with?
- What examples of public engagement with research

are you aware of within your unit?

• What level of success do you think these examples

are achieving?



communication vs. engagement

"I think we should be much more proactive in defending large-scale public engagement, including broadcast work"

Interview with Associate Dean, Research

"I've been really shocked by at various levels across the university is the lack of understanding between dissemination and impact [...] it's not the same... Interview with Associate Dean, Research

OU definition of engaged research



Engaged research encompasses the different ways that researchers meaningfully interact with various stakeholders¹ over any or all stages of a research process, from issue formulation, the production or cocreation of new knowledge, to knowledge evaluation and dissemination.

1. Stakeholders may include user communities, and members of the public or groups who come into existence or develop an identity in relationship to the research process.



- Is engagement a valid career option?
- What needs to change to make engagement aspirational?

<u>Holliman, 2015</u>



HOME . PUBLIC ENGLIGEMENT MEANS SUCRIFICING ADJOEVIC CUREER

Public engagement means 'sacrificing' academic career

Perception that time should be spent improving research provess

July 9 2015

BY PAUL JUNP FOLLOW JUTHOR ON PEULJUNP







quality and (lack of) recognition

"...there's a conflicting degree of un-clarity as to how you measure these things...

I think it's fair to say that we probably **slightly under appreciate people** who do that type of activity but probably no more than we under appreciate people who excel in research..."

Interview with Associate Dean, Research



reward and recognition:

drivers for quality & career progression

- External
 - REF 2014: 20% on the impact agenda
 - reviews of grant proposals (and papers)
 - accreditation and/or charter schemes?
- Internal
 - promotion criteria: knowledge exchange
 - awards scheme
 - seed funding schemes
 - workload management

Individual

- career development and appraisals
- opportunities and training
- mentoring and support mechanisms



Career development and progression

- Recruitment to Research Leadership
- Training
- Support
- Recognition



Recruitment & Leadership

- Do the Advance Skills look useful to you as a Research Leader?
- Is there anything obvious missing?
- What Basic Skills would you look for in a researcher?



Vitae, 2013



Training and support

- Communication
 - representation, mediation, identity collaboration, etc.
- Engagement
 - who, why, how, when (how often), to what ends, etc.
- Mentoring and collaboration

Holliman and Warren, in press



Recognition: award scheme

- What measures can be used proposed to explore the quality of engaged research?
- What criteria/metrics should be used to assess excellence?
- How will the findings be used to improve future practice, and shared with other researchers?
- Do we have a shared understanding of what we're assessing?



Awards Scheme

Recognising and rewarding excellence

Are you involved in public engagement with research at the Open University? Have you been involved in an engaged research project that you want to share? Why not enter the Open University's inaugural 2014 Engaging Research Awards Scheme?

The OU's RCUK-funded Public Engagement with Research Catalyst team, <u>'An</u> <u>open research university'</u>, is running this Awards Scheme to find and celebrate high-quality public engagement with research at the OU.



The OU Awards Scheme has been timed to connect with the first national competition to celebrate excellence in public engagement with research. Entrants to the OU Awards Scheme can use their entries to enter this nationwide competition. Select <u>Public Engagement Competition</u> for further details of the NCCPE scheme.

Dr Richard Holliman, Chair of the Assessment Panel

Defining engaged research

Across the OU researchers are engaging with publics, user communities and stakeholders in lots of different ways and for lots of different reasons. Through this scheme we want to recognise and reward examples of top quality engaged research in practice, and we want you to get involved.

We're interested in applications covering projects from all disciplines, of any size, length and cost. However, the applications



NCCPE 2014 Public Engagement with Research Competition Engaging Research Award Scheme

- 3 categories
 - Research Leaders
 - Early Career
 Researchers
 - Postgraduate
 Researchers

Holliman et al., 2015

- Assessment criteria
 - two protocols
 - process vs. product
- 1. Connection to research
- 2. People
- 3. Purposes
- 4. Processes
- 5. Quality and reflective practices





Promotion

- Single academic contract
- Differentiated profiles
- Knowledge exchange
- Developmental feedback
- Leadership
- Enabling others to succeed
- Three out of six criteria
- Flexibility, not rigid metrics

Dibb and Blackman, in <u>Holliman *et al.*, 2015</u>



"As a practitioner within education and as a parent and sibling of disabled people my research and teaching have always been

rooted in notions of participation and of relevance for the learner and service user. I want to see those who are researched play a key role in that research and within learning contexts I wish the learner's perspective to be central. My work is about seeking ways to enable this involvement."

Jonathan Rix, Professor of Participation and Learning Support





Summing up... The battle for engaged research

Researchers

- effective upstream planning
- engaged project management
- full economic costs for all participants
- quality outputs

Universities

- incentivise researchers
- provide support mechanisms for all grades

Funders

- consistent messaging
- quality assurance (assessors and panels)

So, what do we know?



- o Important update of initial 2006 study
- Supported by fifteen major research funders
- Basis for significant additional work & analysis
- In a nutshell things are getting better, slowly

- How has the attitude of STFC's research community changed towards engagement?
- An expert working group reviewed results of interviews and a community survey

What did we find?





Engagement is valued

Evaluation should be better



New ideas need encouraging



Institutions need to give support



Time is the major barrier



Recognition is patchy



t



Definitions remain an issue